

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
4 September 2003 (04.09.2003)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/073693 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **H04L 12/14**, 29/06, G06F 1/00
- (72) Inventor; and  
(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **KARLSSON, Stefan** [SE/SE]; Arklimästaregatan 46C 4v ög, S-371 36 Karl-skrona (SE).
- (21) International Application Number: **PCT/SE03/00148**
- (74) Agent: **STRÖM & GULLIKSSON**; Intellectual Property Consulting AB, P.O. Box 793, S-220 07 Lund (SE).
- (22) International Filing Date: 29 January 2003 (29.01.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 0200648-4 28 February 2002 (28.02.2002) SE
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L M ERICSSON** [SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE).
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: A METHOD AND DISTRIBUTED RATING SYSTEM FOR DETERMINING RATING DATA IN A CHARGING SYSTEM



(57) Abstract: A method and distributed rating system for determining rating data for services and subscribers in a communications network, wherein data associated with a service or subscriber is accessed (101), a rating request, including the accessed data is sent (103) to a distributed rating means (16, 19, 21) for distributed rating. Rating data are accessed and determined for the service or subscriber based on distributed data (19) protected against access from central rating means (14, 17, 20) and on received service data for transmission to the central rating means (14, 17, 20). Resulting rating data are received in the central rating means (14, 17, 20) and a rating value is determined for charging a subscriber based on the received rating data.

WO 03/073693 A1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),  
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,  
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SI,  
SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN,  
GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**Published:**

— *with international search report*

**A METHOD AND DISTRIBUTED RATING SYSTEM FOR DETERMINING  
RATING DATA IN A CHARGING SYSTEM**

5

**Field of the Invention**

The present invention relates to charging systems and more particularly to a method and distributed rating system for determining rating data for charging services in a communications network.

10

**Description of the Prior Art**

Billing for usage of mobile telephone communications networks such as GSM, public switched telecommunications networks (PSTN), ISDN, ATM, Internet etc. and their associated services is achieved by means of a payment mechanism. Both post-paid and pre-paid systems are used. In prior art pre-paid systems it is common practice to load an account with money and then deduct the account balance due to subscriber usage.

20

Today's mobile telephone communications networks such as GSM, public switched telecommunications networks (PSTN), ISDN, ATM, Internet etc. provide many different more or less sophisticated tele and data communications services for users and providers, regional as well as world-wide. The demands for increased benefit of the network operator, the service provider, and the service subscriber have brought forth the concept of Intelligent Network (IN). An Intelligent Network is a telecommunications concept that meets the market demand, from network operators and service providers, for advanced services within the existing telephony network. IN is a network architecture connected to the basic network (for instance a fixed or mobile network), enabling faster, easier, and more flexible service implementation and service control. This is effected by transferring the service control from the

25

30

35

switching centre to a separate functional IN unit. Thus, the service becomes independent of the basic network operation, and the basic network structure and software need not be changed when services are altered or added.

5 Examples of such services are Premium Rate calls, Mobile Virtual Private Network, Prepaid charging and Personal Number. Different network providers offer different sets of services including both charged services and services free of charge.

10 When running several service providers, content providers, value added service providers, hereafter called providers, in one charging system, the system itself is operated and owned by the network operator, hereafter called operator. A provider using the system is operating  
15 with full insight of the operator, i.e. the providers core business with rating plan such as tariff setting, tariff switch and rating conditions are known to the operator, which might be the owner of one of the competing providers.

In some prior art charging or pre-pay systems there  
20 are limited support for providers operating in a common system and the system does not support any data integrity with respect to rating plans, rating data and rating schemes/algorithms. The provider is not allowed to access this data at all and its management is handled by the  
25 operator.

A problem is also that of system maintenance. An operator owning a centralized system would not readily allow one of its associated providers access to the system and its data thus risking to compromise both security and  
30 system stability for the complete system.

#### Summary of the invention

Therefore, it is an object of the present invention to provide a method and rating system for determining  
35 rating data for services in a communications network that

overcomes the problem and disadvantages set forth above in connection with the prior art.

This object is achieved by a method for determining rating data for services in a communications network, wherein data identifying a service requested by a subscriber is accessed by central rating means; a rating request, including the accessed data is sent to distributed rating means for distributed rating, based on rating data related to the accessed data. The rating data is received from the distributed rating means, and a rating value is determined for charging a subscriber based on the received rating data.

The object is further achieved by a distributed rating system for determining rating data in the communications network. The distributed rating system is characterised by a central rating means including a computer apparatus adapted to access data identifying a service requested by a subscriber; send a rating request, including the accessed data, to distributed rating means for distributed rating depending on rating data related to said accessed data, receive rating data from said distributed rating means, and determine a rating value for charging a pre-paid account of said subscriber based on said received rating data.

A more specific object of the invention is to provide a computer program for working the method.

An advantage of the present invention is that an(network) operator can offer providers access to the system without compromising the integrity of their rating plan and rating data. The data are also hidden between providers. Moreover, the invention will also help hiding rating plans between different customers to the provider.

It should be emphasized that the term "comprises/ comprising" when used in this specification is taken to specify the presence of stated features, integers, steps or

components but does not preclude the presence or addition of one or more other features, integers, steps, components or groups thereof.

### **Brief Description of the Drawings**

In order to explain the invention in more detail and the advantages and features of the invention, a preferred embodiment will be described in detail below, reference being made to the accompanying drawings, in which

FIG 1 is a schematic view of a part of a cellular mobile telephone network,

FIG 2 is a schematic view of an intelligent network (IN) implementation of the network in FIG 1 including a distributing rating system according to the invention,

FIG 3 illustrates one embodiment of the distributed rating system of FIG 2 in further detail,

FIG 4 is a signalling diagram illustrating an embodiment of the method of determining rating data according to the invention,

FIG 5 shows a part of an analysis tree of a central charging function in the system of FIG 2, and

FIG 6 illustrates an alternative embodiment of the distributed rating system of FIG 2 in further detail.

### **Detailed Description of the Invention**

Referring to FIG 1, there is shown an example of a communications network such as a cellular mobile telephone network or PLMN (public land mobile network) including a service providing network, such as an intelligent network (IN) facilitating service delivery for subscribers connected to the network. In this embodiment, the network also provides a pre-pay mechanism and a method for determining rating data for pre-paid services for subscribers in the communications network. Said pre-pay mechanism provides data integrity for providers, content

providers or value added service providers etc., hereafter called provider, with respect to rating data and plans in relation to a network operator, hereafter called operator, in the communications network. The pre-pay mechanism is  
5 only one example of a method for charging for services in a communications network, but this mechanism is not necessary for the invention. A post-pay mechanism or other method of charging is likewise possible within the scope of the invention.

10 Cellular telephone service, such as GSM/UMTS, involves the subdivision of a service area into a number of smaller cells. Each cell requires a base station controller (BSC) 1 and a base station (BTS) 2, as shown in FIG 1. The BSC 1 performs the tracking of mobile telephone users. A  
15 gateway mobile services switching centre (GMSC) 4 or only mobile services switching centre (MSC) 5 provides specific data about individual mobile phones 3 in the network and operates as an interface towards other networks such as other PLMNs, ISDN or the public switched network (PSTN) 6  
20 in the communications system. The (G)MSC 4 and 5 comprises a data processing system, including a computer processor for processing data, and storage means connected to the computer processor for storing data on a storage medium.

25 Cellular systems are using radio access based on both analogue and digital transmission. Digital cellular systems provide the best quality with the least amount of bandwidth. Different kinds of principles such as TDMA or CDMA technologies are used for digital cellular systems, such as GSM.

30 An example of an intelligent network (IN) implementation for the network in FIG 1 including a distributing rating system according to the invention with integrity for providers with respect to rating data, plans, algorithms or schemas in relation to an operator in the  
35 communications network with is shown in FIG 2. The

intelligent network IN has a signalling network, which performs message switching between network elements. In this embodiment of the invention, a specific type of signalling protocol, signalling system 7 (SS7), is used as a carrier for the exchange of information messages and carries many types of information elements, which are useful for intelligent network services. However, SS7 is only an example and the signalling protocol can be based on another protocol such as the Internet Protocol (IP), Camel Application Part (CAP) for GSM/UMTS, IN Application Part (INAP) for fixed networks - where CAP and INAP are transported on SS7/C7 SIGTRAN. Additionally, the intelligent network includes a service switching point (SSP 8) having a gsm service switching function (gsmSSF), in this embodiment, located in the (G)MSC 4, 5. The SSP 8 detects events indicating a call requiring IN and after this triggering, it suspends call processing and starts a series of transactions with a service control point (SCP 9) including a gsm service control function (gsmSCF) in this embodiment. In another embodiment of the invention used for GPRS the service switching point (SSP 8) includes a gprs service switching function (gprsSSF) located in the serving or gateway GPRS support node (SGSN/GGSN). The SCP 9 is a real-time database and service processing system that, based on a query from the SSP 8, performs subscriber- or application-specific service logic, controlling the call set-up and call flow. A home location register (HLR) 10 stores the identity and user data of all the subscribers belonging to the PLMN. Further, the HLR 10 provides the (G)MSC 4, 5 with the necessary subscriber data when a call is coming from a public switched network (PSTN) 6, an ISDN network, the Internet etc. A visitors location register (VLR) 11 contains relevant data of all mobiles currently located or roaming within a serving (G)MSC 4, 5. The VLR 11 has to support the (G)MSC 4, 5 during call establishment



when a call is coming from a mobile telephone 1. A service data point (SDP) 12 is a database containing service data needed for the service, including for example tariff data, subscriber data, group data etc. in this embodiment. Rating and charging analysis is also handled in the SDP 12 or SCP 9.

Before a mobile telephone user can make a phone call or use other post or prepaid services provided by the network and service providers, content provider or value added service provider etc., hereafter called providers, he/she has to be registered as a "subscriber".

The distributed rating system of the invention comprises but is not limited to one operator 13, having a central charging and rating function CCRF 14, and one or several providers 15, having a distributed rating function DRF 16. The providers could be geographically separated from the operator. The operator and the provider(s) respectively control their own domain, which could be located in the same or different countries. Further, the operator and provider(s), respectively, own, stores and controls their own data. Hence data controlled by the operator is protected from access by the providers and vice versa.

The main components constituting the distributed rating system according to the invention is shown in FIG 3.

The operator controls main rating schemes and central tariff data stored in storage 17. The operator also keeps account data for subscribers. The providers 15 own and control specific rating schemes and tariff data stored in a storage 19 for the subscribers and accounts to which they provide services.

The operator communicates with the providers via interfaces 20 and 21 using a connection protocol described later.

One embodiment of the method for determining rating data for services with data integrity for providers according to the invention in a communications network is described in connection with the signalling diagram of FIG

5 4.

In step 101 the central charging and rating function CCRF 14 collects or accesses charging input parameters or data such as: service data, subscriber related data, session data, call data, system data etc, received from an  
10 external service element of a service requested by a subscriber. One example of a requested service is a regular phone call from a pre-pay subscriber in a PLMN, said subscriber having an account registered for one or more services at one of the service providers 15 in FIG 3. The  
15 service element is for example an SSF via CAP or INAP, SCF via CAP or INAP, HLR via MAP, HSS (Home Subscriber Subsystem) web servers/application servers via Diameter, SIP, open API via IP, i.e OSA/Parlay, XML webservers via SOAP or any other server with an application requiring  
20 charging support, such as an e-commerce site movie/music server for streaming, a news site, WAP server or an SMSC/MMS-C. The charging input parameters are an article, number of events, kind of event, service, local time, destination number, originating or terminating location,  
25 distance, QoS, number of time slots, or utilised capacity etc.

In this embodiment the CCRF 14 performs pre-rating of the requested service in step 102, i.e determines pre-rating data if this is applicable for the current service.  
30 Next, the CCRF 14 signals to the DRF 16 of the provider 15. All rating related data needed as a complement to the data stored at the provider 15, is included in the signal request: Assisting Rating Request({Par1,Par2,. . . ,ParN}) or ARR. The ARR operation signals enough information from  
35 the centralized charging and rating function CCN 14 to make

it possible for the remote rating function to perform proper rating on a requested service or event. The transmitted parameters Par1, Par2, . . . , ParN includes information for the rating function used for rating such as subscriber identification, event status, event information etc.

The Distributed Rating Function DRF 16 at the provider 15 receives the request for rating data from the CCRF 14 via the interface 20 through the network and the interface 21 to the DRF 16 in step 104. The received parameters are accessed for authenticating and authorizing the requesting operator and parameter data in step 105. One or more data lookup-operations for local tariff data is performed in the storage 19 in step 106. The looked up data, which is protected against access from the CCRF 14, is processed according to the algorithm applicable for the current service in step 107. In the next step 108, the DRF 15 formats the determined rating data according to the connection protocol used in the communication between the operator and provider. Thus, the resulting rating data of the calculation are included in an answer message created to be returned to the CCRF 14 of the operator 13. The answer data format could either be pre-synchronised with the requesting CCRF or locally decided and indicated in the answer. The answer message or assisting rating result ARRE including one or more parameters ({Res1, Res2, . . . , ResN}) with rating data is sent to the requesting CCRF 14 in step 109.

The result of the process could be stored together with the charging input to be used for statistical purposes and for future rating based on historical data either in the provider 19 or the CCRF 14. The data can be output as call detail records and event detail records. This data could also be used for settlement purposes between the operator and the provider.

This returned information could for instance be an absolute charge (e.g. cost per time unit or data volume, per event, per content), a set of tariff data (including cost, duration/volume, time/volume interval etc.) or a  
5 specific scaling factor (percent) that scales the operators determined charge.

The rating data are received from the DRF in step 110. A rating value is determined in step 111 for charging a pre-paid account of the subscriber in step 112, which has  
10 requested the service, based on the received rating data.

The method steps 101 to 112 could of course be repeated for a number of charging sessions.

Depending on the implementation or agreements between the operator and provider(s), the CCN can let the provider  
15 decide the complete final rate (and charge) by itself by not using the pre-rating and/or final rating described later. It could also add an extra charge or only have the distributed rating function to scale the outcome of the central rating result.

20 As mentioned above, the operator domain comprises the central charging and rating function CCN 14, the central tariff data 17 and the end-users accounts 18. Additionally, it provides means for call control and, might contain authentication and authorisation functions of the end-user.

25 The central charging and rating function CCN 14 can invoke the distributed charging and rating function 16 at different stages, for example the data collection, rating pre-analysis, rating, promotion analysis, final rating and follow-up data output. from the data collection, rating pre-  
30 analysis, rating, promotion analysis, final rating and follow-up data output.

In the different steps of the method for determining rating data, the charging input parameters received from the external service element together with service and  
35 subscriber/account data indicate that the assisting rating

request to the distributed rating means in the provider 15  
should be invoked and which parameters to be used. For the  
different rating and promotion analysis steps, explicit  
statements are possible to make in the analysis tables and  
5 trees of the operator.

A part of an analysis structure explicitly invoking  
the assisting request for external rating or price  
information is shown in FIG 5. The data to be used in the  
request could be stored in the structure or a reference  
10 could be given to the data to be included, i.e dynamic data  
associated with the call/session/event, for example  
location and/or local time, or subscription data such as  
service class and/or kind of subscription. The answer to  
the request might be used for further processing (Continued  
15 Analysis node), if not suppressed.

The differentiation in stages implies that the call  
handling, rating and charging functions could request for  
distributed rating in different handling stages. The answer  
to the request will be received before continued processing  
20 (the analysis will be halted) but the collected answer data  
could be used in any stage of processing i.e at data  
collection, rating pre-analysis, rating, promotion  
analysis, final rating, or follow-up data output. The data  
included in the answer could either be pre-defined in the  
25 requesting entity (operator or provider), defined in the  
request or could be described in the answer defined by the  
answering entity (operator or provider).

The content in the answer is used based on rules  
specified in the operator domain and on the answer content  
30 itself. All parameters are not used in every cases, some of  
them are only output in data records, some of them are used  
in pre-rating/rating/promotion analysis etc. The result of  
the process could be stored together with the charging  
input for statistical purposes and for future rating based  
35 on historical data. This stored data could also be output

for settlement purposes between the operator and the provider.

As described above, the providers domain comprises the distributed charging and rating function DRF 16, local tariff data 19, and data associated with the subscriber such as historical data about previous purchases, local subscriber data for differentiating the costs. The DRF 16 is set-up to receive requests from the CCN 14. The received parameters are analysed in the DRF. Based on the parameters and locally stored tariff data and/or plans rating data are determined and an answer message is created. The answer data format could either be pre-synchronised with the requesting operator or locally decided and indicated in the answer.

The input data (external and internal) from the CCN 16 could be handled according to different schemes or algorithms by the DRF 15. Fixed mapping (product code/pieces etc. to cost, tax etc.); rating trees/analysis; location; weather conditions and other situation depending data; database look-up; promotion analysis using provided data or historical data; operator id; or any combination are a few examples applicable.

The output from the algorithms could for instance be: cost (absolute value, cost per used unit etc.), fee, rate, scaling factors/parts of the cost, tax %, promotion (factor, volume, absolute etc.), previously used units, allowed units or combinations thereof.

A connecting protocol is used in the communication between the operator and providers via their respective interfaces 20,21. The main use of this connecting protocol is to carry a request and its data from the CCN 14 to the DRF 16, and carry the answer and its data - that might affect the processing in CCN - from the DRF 16 to the CCN 14.

This connecting protocol could be based on protocols such as X.25, IP, SS7, ATM, Frame Relay, SDH or be implemented as a completely proprietary solution. Information transfer protocols could be

- 5       - http (according to IETF),
- Inter Processor Communication protocols (such as the Ericsson Inter Processor Communication protocol),
- IIOP (Internet Inter-ORB Protocol e.g. as used in the RemoteMethod Invocation in the Java platform or Corba
- 10     as defined by the Object Management Group),
- CAP (Camel Application Part version 1,2,3 and 4 according to 3GPP),
- INAP (Intelligent Network Application Part proprietary and according to ETSI CS1, CS2, CS3 etc.),
- 15     - MAP (Mobile Application Part according to 3GPP),
- IS41/IS95 (according to ANSI),
- Diameter (according to IETF),
- SIP (Session Initiating Protocol according to IETF),
- 20     - LDAP (Lightweight Directory Access Protocol according to IETF),
- DNS (Domain Name Server according to IETF),
- - etc.

The method of the present invention is preferably

25     implemented in computer software executable preferably by a distributed data processing system forming part of the overall communication system. More specifically, the operator and the providers form separate data processing system or are subsystems of the overall communication

30     system for communication with other devices, components or data processing system/s in the communication system of the network. In one embodiment of the data processing system according to the invention it comprises a separate computer processors for processing data in the operator and the

35     respective provider domains, and at least one separate

storage connected to each computer processor for storing data on a storage medium.

In this embodiment of the invention, the computer processor of the operator in the network is configured to work the steps of the method performed in the operator domain. The computer processor of the provider in the network is configured to work the steps of the method performed in the provider domain.

Hence, it should be apparent that the present invention provides an improved method and system for use in an electronic communication network, comprising a rating and charging mechanism that provides data integrity for providers with respect to rating data and plans in relation to an operator in the communications network, that fully satisfies the aims and advantages set forth above. Although the invention has been described in conjunction with specific embodiments thereof this invention is susceptible of embodiments in different forms, with the understanding that the present disclosure is to be considered as an exemplification of the principles of the invention and is not intended to limit the invention to the specific embodiment illustrated.

An alternative embodiment of the invention distributed rating system according to the invention is shown in FIG 6. This embodiment of the distributed rating system according to the invention comprises but is not limited to one operator 13', having a central charging and rating function CCN 14', and one or several merchants 15', having a distributed rating function DRF 16'. The merchants could be geographically separated from the operator. The operator and the merchant(s) respectively control their own domain, which could be located in the same or different countries. Further, the operator and merchant(s), respectively, own, stores and controls their own data. Hence data controlled by the merchant is protected from



access by the operators and vice versa. The operator 13' controls central tariff data and service fee lists for services such as electronic commerce/payment services stored in storage 17'. The operator also keeps account data 5 18' for subscribers. The merchants 15' own and control specific local product data and price-lists stored in a storage 19.

The operator communicates with the merchants via interfaces 20' and 21' using a connection protocol as 10 described in connection with the first embodiment.

In addition, the invention also extends to computer programs, particularly computer programs on or in a carrier, adapted for putting the invention into practice. The program may be in the form of source code, object code 15 a code suitable for use in the implementation of the method according to the invention. The carrier can be any entity or device capable of carrying the program. For example the carrier may be a record medium, computer memory, read-only memory or an electrical carrier signal.

20 Although the method is described in conjunction with a mobile telephone call in an intelligent/CAMEL network the method is applicable on any communication session, both terminating and originating, and other communication services in other kind of networks. For example, the method 25 and system according to the invention are applicable in other mobile telephone networks, public switched telecommunications networks (PSTN), ISDN, ATM, Internet etc., which provide many different more or less sophisticated tele and data communications services for 30 users and providers.

For example, the distributed rating can be performed in more than two levels. In an alternative embodiment an e-merchant, providing articles on the Internet, involves a service provider for rating. The merchant has local or 35 distributed rating data for determining rating data

requested by the provider. The provider determines rating data based on rating data received from the merchant. Rating data determined by the provider is then sent to the operator for final rating as described above. Each provider  
5 may be connected to several e-merchants or other providers

**CLAIMS**

1. A method for determining rating data for services in a communications network,

5       **characterised** by the steps of:

accessing data associated with a service or a subscriber (101)

10       sending a rating request, including said accessed data, to a distributed rating means (16,19,21) for distributed rating based on distributed rating data related to said service or subscriber (103-109),

receiving resulting rating data from said distributed rating means (15,16,21) (110), and

15       determining a rating value for charging said subscriber based on said received rating data (112).

2. A method according to claim 1, **characterised** in that said distributed rating means (16,19,21) is operated by a service provider (15), content provider, or value added service provider.

3. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterised** in that said rating request is sent from central rating means (14,17,20) operated by a network operator (13).

4. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterised** by, before the step of sending said rating request, the further step of determining pre-rating data (102).

5. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterised** by, before the step of charging said account, the further step of determining final-rating data (111).

6. A method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that said rating value is determined based on said pre-rating data, distributed rating data from said distributed rating means (15,16,19), and final-rating data.

7. A method for determining rating data for services in a communications network, characterised by the steps of:

10 receiving data associated with a service or subscriber (101) from central rating means (104), accessing and determining rating data for said service or subscriber based on distributed rating data related to said service or subscriber and on said received

15 data for transmission to said central rating means (105-108).

8. A method according to claim 7, characterised in that said distributed rating data is accessed and

20 determined by distributed rating means (16,19,21).

9. A method according to claim 8, characterised in that said distributed rating means (16,19,21) is operated by a service provider (15), content provider, or value

25 added service provider.

10. A method according to any of the claims 7-9, characterised in that said central rating means (14,17,20) is operated by a network operator (13).

30

11. A computer program comprising program instructions for causing a computer to perform the method of any of the claims 1-10.

12. A computer program on a carrier and comprising computer executable instructions for causing a computer to perform the method according to claims 1-10.

5 13. A computer program according to claim 12, wherein said carrier is a record medium, computer memory, read-only memory or an electrical carrier signal.

10 14. A distributed rating system for determining rating data for pre-paid services in a communications network,

characterised by central rating means (14,17,20) including a computer apparatus adapted to access service data associated with a service or

15 subscriber,

send a rating request, including said accessed data, to a distributed rating means (16,19,21) for distributed rating based on distributed rating data (19) related to said service or subscriber,

20 receive resulting rating data from said distributed rating means (16,19,21), and

determine a rating value for charging a pre-paid account (18) of said subscriber based on said received rating data.

25

15. A distributed rating system as in claim 14, wherein said system is a mobile communications system.

16. A distributed rating system as in claim 14,  
30 wherein said system is an IN/CAMEL system.

17. A distributed rating system as in any of the claims 14-16, wherein said service is a prepaid service.

18. A distributed rating system as in any of the claims 14-17, wherein said service is an electronic commerce/payment service.

5        19. A distributed rating system as in any of the claims 14-18, wherein said resulting rating data is cost per time unit or data volume, per event, per content, a set of tariff data including cost, duration/volume, time/volume interval, or a specific scaling factor.

10

20. A distributed rating system for determining rating data for pre-paid services in a communications network,

15        **characterised** by distributed rating means (16,19,21) including a computer apparatus adapted to receive data associated with a service or subscriber from central rating means (14,17,20), access and determining rating data for said service  
20 or subscriber based on distributed rating data related to said service or subscriber and on said received service data for transmission to said central rating means.






FIG. 1

2/6


**FIG. 2**



3/6

**FIG. 3**

4/6

**FIG. 4**

5/6





FIG. 5

6/6

**FIG. 6**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/SE 03/00148

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: H04L 12/14, H04L 29/06, G06F 1/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: H04L, H04M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-INTERNAL, WPI

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P,X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol.2003 no.01, 14 January 2003(2003-01-14) & JP 2002261987 A (HITACHI LTD), 13 September 2002(2002-09-13) abstract --	1-20
P,X	US 2002/072333 A1 (N.GNESDA ET AL), 13 June 2002 (13.06.02), column 1, line 57 - column 2, line 30, abstract --	1-20
A	US 6307924 B1 (M.ROSENBERG), 23 October 2001 (23.10.01) --	1-20

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 April 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

13 -05- 2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA/  
Swedish Patent Office  
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM  
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Behroz Moradi /itw  
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/SE 05/00148

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 6195364 B1 (D.L.BRODIGAN), 27 February 2001 (27.02.01)  --	1-20
A	WO 0199446 A1 (TELIA AB), 27 December 2001 (27.12.01)  --	1-20
A	WO 9726739 A1 (NOKIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS OY), 24 July 1997 (24.07.97)  -- -----	1-20

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

29/03/03

International Application No.

PCT/SE 03/0148

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2002/072333 A1	13/06/02	NONE	
US 6307924 B1	23/10/01	DE 19813906 A EP 0948162 A JP 3084276 B JP 11331438 A	30/09/99 06/10/99 04/09/00 30/11/99
US 6195364 B1	27/02/01	AU 5914500 A EP 1206864 A WO 0115372 A	19/03/01 22/05/02 01/03/01
WO 0199446 A1	27/12/01	AU 2034201 A EP 1238424 A SE 0002365 A	12/06/01 11/09/02 23/12/01
WO 9726739 A1	24/07/97	AU 713041 B AU 1445897 A EP 0875108 A FI 2482 U FI 102232 B FI 960185 A,V JP 2000503825 T US 6480485 B	25/11/99 11/08/97 04/11/98 19/06/96 00/00/00 16/07/97 28/03/00 12/11/02

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**